UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,900	07/28/2006	Hiroshi Kajiwara	00862.109526.	3595	
	7590 03/25/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER			
1290 Avenue of		YEH, EUENG NAN			
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		1	0/587,900	KAJIWARA ET A	KAJIWARA ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		E	UENG-NAN YEH	2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appear	rs on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply the reply received by the Office later than three months at the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICA ). In no event, however, may a reply pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: use the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>19 Janu</i>	ary 2010.					
•			tion is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition f	for allowance	except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 31-44 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33,34 and 38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>31,32,35 and 36</u> is/are allow							
	Claim(s) 37 and 39-44 is/are rejected							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or el	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	-	. Evaminar						
•	The specification is objected to by the		.\ <b>\</b> \	a ata al ta lavetla a Escanai				
10)[	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>January 19, 2010</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object				NED 4 4047 IV			
44)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			-	, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	niner. Note the attached C	Trice Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
^ &	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of t	the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachmen			Λ.Π	PTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) 1ail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· - · <del>-</del> /	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) LJ Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/587,900 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

### **FINAL ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The following Office Action is responsive to the amendment and remarks received on January 19, 2010. Original claims 33, 34, and 38 were cancelled and claims 31, 32, 35-37, and 39-44 remain pending. The drawings and abstract objections are withdrawn.

# Claim Objections – 37 CFR 1.75(b)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.75(b):
  - (b) More than one claims may be presented provided they differ substantially from each other and are not unduly multiplied.

Claim 44 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(b), as duplicated claim. Applicant is advised that should claim43 be found allowable, claim 44 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP §706.03(k). The examiner suggests cancellation of claims 44 to avoid duplication.

# Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2624

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 39 (and therefore claims 40 and 41 by dependency), 42, and 43 (and therefore claim 44 by dependency) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitation(s):

- a) Claim 39 recites the limitation "said decomposition means for" in line 16.
- b) Claim 42 recites the limitation "the decomposition steps for" in line 17.
- c) Claim 43 recites the limitation "the decomposition means for" in line 18.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional

Art Unit: 2624

descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101.

... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

Claims 37, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 37, 43, and 44 are drawn to functional descriptive material recorded on a computer-readable storage medium. However, the broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim drawn to a computer readable storage medium (also called machine readable medium and other such variations) typically covers forms of non-transitory tangible media and transitory propagating signals *per se* in view of the ordinary and customary meaning of computer readable storage medium. When the broadest reasonable interpretation of claim covers a signal *per se*, the claim must be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as covering non-statutory subject matter. See *In re Nuijten*, 500 F.3d 1346, 1356-57 (Fed. Cir, 2007) (transitory embodiments are not directed to statutory subject matter) and Interim Examination Instructions for Evaluating Subject Matter Eligibility Under 35 U.S.C. § 101, August 24, 2009; P. 2.

Because the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure encompasses non-statutory subject matter, the claim as a whole is non-statutory. The examiner suggests amending the claim as "A non-transitory computer-readable storage medium" to *include* the disclosed non-transitory tangible computer readable media,

Application/Control Number: 10/587,900 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

while at the same time <u>excluding</u> the intangible media such as signals, carrier waves, etc.

### Examiner's Comments

- 5. Claims 31, 32, 35, and 36 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 37 and 39-44 would be allowable if amended to overcome the CFR 1.75(b), USC 112, and USC 101 rejections set forth in this Office action above. Another comment for independent claims 31, 36, and 37: please define the first appearance of acronym TB such as threshold TB (or threshold bit TB, etc.)
- 7. The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The present application comprises some of the elements of the claims, such as the following features, in combination with other recited limitations, which the closest prior art of record and the references cited in form PTO-1449 taken either singly or in combination does not teach or suggest:

a) a decision unit that detects the code data amount of the current frame multiplexed by said multiplexing unit and decides a number of bitplanes not to be encoded for the subsequent frame, wherein said second coding unit encodes bitplanes of data of the lower TB bits excluding the number of bitplanes, from a lowest bit plane, decided by said decision unit when the preceding frame had been encoded (independent claim 31). Art Unit: 2624

- b) a deciding step of detecting the code data amount of the current frame multiplexed by the multiplexing step and deciding a number of bitplanes not to be encoded for the subsequent frame, wherein the second coding step encodes bitplanes of data of the lower TB bits excluding the number of bitplanes, from a lowest bit plane, decided by said deciding step when the preceding frame had been encoded (independent claim 36).
- c) a decision unit that detects the code data amount of the current frame multiplexed by said multiplexing unit and decides a number of bitplanes not to be encoded for the subsequent frame, wherein said second coding unit encodes bitplanes of data of the lower TB bits excluding the number of bitplanes, from a lowest bit plane, decided by said decision unit when the preceding frame had been encoded (independent claim 37).

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment is rejected in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eueng-nan Yeh whose telephone number is 571-270-1586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eueng-nan Yeh Assistant Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2624 /E.Y./

/VIKKRAM BALI/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624